## Solution for the exam in the course "Modeling and Learning for Dynamical Systems" (TSRT92) 2022-08-22

1. a) The predictor of a NARX model is, in general, given by

$$
\hat{y}(t \mid \theta)=g(y(t-1), \ldots, y(t-n), u(t), \ldots, u(t-m), \theta)
$$

where $g$ is a non-linear function. Writing it as neural network gives e.g.

$$
\hat{y}(t \mid \theta)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k} \kappa\left(\beta_{k}^{T}\left(\varphi-\gamma_{k}\right)\right)
$$

with $\theta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{d}\right\} . \quad \kappa(x)$ is a so-called base function, which is a non-linear scaling function of a scalar variable $x$.
The difference is that $g$ ia a non-linear function instead of a linear function as in a normal ARX. That is,

$$
\hat{y}(t)=-a_{1} y(t-1)+\ldots+-a_{n} y(t-n)+b_{1} u(t)+\ldots+b_{m} u(t-m)
$$

b) Assume that a step with amplitude $u_{0}$ gives a step response $y_{0}(t)$. Then, steps in the input signal with amplitudes $u_{k}=\alpha_{k} u_{0}, k=1, \ldots, d$ will give output signals $y_{k}(t)=\alpha_{k} y_{0}(t), k=1, \ldots, d$. If not, the system is non-linear. Note: Even if the test above is valid, the system can be non-linear of other types of input signals or of other amplitudes of the input signal than the ones tested.
c) Since $u$ changes slowly, the parts with fast time constants can be replaced with static relationships. For the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1} & =-350 x_{1}+70 u  \tag{1a}\\
\dot{x}_{2} & =10 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+u  \tag{1b}\\
y & =5 x_{1}+4 x_{2} \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

is (1a) much faster than (1b). Therefore, (1a) can be replaced with the static relation

$$
0=-5 x_{1}+u
$$

This gives the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{2} & =-3 x_{2}+3 u \\
y & =4 x_{2}+u
\end{aligned}
$$

d) Introduce the scaling

$$
\tau=\beta t, \quad z(t)=\alpha y(\beta t)=\alpha y(\tau)
$$

The derivation of $z$ is then

$$
\frac{d z(t)}{d t}=\alpha \frac{d y(\tau)}{d \tau} \frac{d \tau}{d t}=\alpha \beta \frac{d z(\tau)}{d \tau}, \quad \frac{d^{2} z(t)}{d t^{2}}=\alpha \beta^{2} \frac{d^{2} y(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}
$$

If we plug in these expressions in

$$
\frac{d^{2} z(t)}{d t^{2}}+8 \frac{d z(t)}{d t}+12 z(t)=u(t)
$$

we get

$$
\alpha \beta^{2} \frac{d^{2} y(\tau)}{d \tau^{2}}+8 \alpha \beta \frac{d y(\tau)}{d \tau}+12 \alpha y(\tau)=u(\tau / \beta)
$$

By choosing the parameters $\beta$ and $\alpha$ as

$$
\alpha=1 / 4, \quad \beta=2
$$

we get the equation for which you have the solution. In other words, in order to get the solution to the desired differential equation, the time axis must be compressed by a factor of 2 and the amplitude reduced to $1 / 4($ ty $z(t)=1 / 4 y(2 t))$.
e) The spectrum of $y$ is given by

$$
\Phi_{y}(\omega)=|G(i \omega)|^{2} \Phi_{u}(\omega)+\Phi_{e}(\omega)=G(i \omega) G(-i \omega) \Phi_{u}(\omega)+\Phi_{e}(\omega)=\frac{1}{1+\omega^{2}}+1
$$

since

$$
\Phi_{u}(\omega)=\Phi_{e}(\omega)=1
$$

2. (a) The estimate $\hat{\theta}_{N}$ will converge to $\theta^{*}$ according to

$$
\theta^{*}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}_{N}=\arg \min _{\theta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|G_{0}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)-G\left(e^{i \omega}, \theta\right)\right|^{2} \Phi_{u}(\omega) d \omega
$$

where $G_{0}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)$ is the true system, $G\left(e^{i \omega}, \theta\right)$ is the model and $\Phi_{u}(\omega)$ is the signal spectrum. (The model of the controller is $H_{*}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)=1$ here.) Thus, the model convergence is weighted with the input signal spectrum $\Phi_{u}(\omega)$.
Since we have the right model structure, there are values $\theta_{0}$ such that $G_{0}\left(e^{i \omega}\right)=$ $G\left(e^{i \omega}, \theta_{0}\right)$. Since the input signal is white noise, is $\Phi_{u}(\omega)$ constant and the result above gives that $\theta^{*}=\theta_{0}$, that is $\hat{a}_{1}=-1.71, \hat{a}_{2}=0.79, \hat{b}_{1}=1$ och $\hat{b}_{2}=0.92$.
(b) Due to the constant input signal, the parameter convergence will be focused entirely on $\omega=0$ because $\Phi_{u}(\omega)=0$ for $\omega \neq 0$. That is,

$$
\hat{b}=\arg \min _{b}\left|G_{0}\left(e^{i 0}\right)-b\right|^{2}=\frac{1+0.92}{1-1.71+0.79} \approx 24
$$

(c) We start by loading the dataset and studying its time and frequency characteristics (can also be done in the user interface by selecting Time plot or Data spectra):

```
load ex091012_4c
```

figure; plot(z1,z2,z3)
figure; plot(fft(z1),fft(z2),fft(z3))

We immediately see that the maximum amplitudes of the input signals are the same, but that the spectrum differs. $z 1$ contains all frequencies between $0-$ $31 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, z2 approximately $0-2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ and z 3 approximately $1.5-7 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The spectrum of $z 1$ is also significantly lower because the energy is distributed over more frequencies.
The models are estimated with:

```
m1=oe(z1,[2 2 1]);
m2=oe(z2,[2 2 1]);
m3=oe(z3,[2 2 1]);
```

If you look at the coefficients, it is above all $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ that varies a lot, but even the poles differ.
The models are evaluated above all in the frequency plane (Frequency resp) with confidence intervals plotted:

G0=idpoly (1,[0 10.92$\left.], 1,1,\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1.71\end{array} 0.79\right],[], 0.1\right) ; \%$ the true system figure; bode(G0,'k',m1,'b',m2,'g',m3,'r','sd',3); \% 3 standard deviations


Only amplitude curves shown here.
A bandwidth of $3 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ gives an approximate scan rate of $3 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. It is then important that we have good knowledge of the system around this frequency. In the frequency response, it is clearly seen that $z 2$ gives a extremely uncertain model above $2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ (which is reasonable since we are not exciting the system there!). Of the other two data sets, z1 gives a slightly more uncertain model in this area, which is explained by lower input signal energy. z3 is therefore satisfying.
The uncertainty can also be studied for poles and zeros (Zeros and poles) with confidence intervals:
figure; pzmap(G0,'k',m1,'b',m2,'g',m3,'r','sd',3);

3. a) Backward approximation gives

$$
\binom{\frac{1}{h}\left(x_{1, n}-x_{1, n-1}\right)-x_{2, n}}{x_{1, n}-t_{n}^{2}}=0
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1, n}=t_{n}^{2} \\
& x_{2, n}=\frac{1}{h}\left(x_{1, n}-x_{1, n-1}\right)=\frac{1}{h}\left(t_{n}^{2}-x_{1, n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the local and the global error are the same since $x_{1, n-1}=x_{1}\left(t_{n-1}\right)$, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}\left(t_{n}\right)-x_{1, n}=t_{n}^{2}-t_{n}^{2}=0 \\
& x_{2}\left(t_{n}\right)-x_{2, n}=2 t_{n}-\frac{1}{h}\left(t_{n}^{2}-t_{n-1}^{2}\right)=2 t_{n}-\frac{1}{h}\left(t_{n}^{2}-\left(t_{n}-h\right)^{2}\right)=h
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, the error is $h$.
b) Let $n(k)$ be the number of tins at time $k$. Then,

$$
n(k+1)=n(k)+u_{i n}(k)-u_{u t}(k)
$$

Let the average age at time $k$ be called $x(k)$. Consider the change between two times $k$ and $k+1$. At time $k, u_{i n}(k)$ tins are added while $u_{\text {out }}(k)$ are removed. At the next time (i.e. $k+1$ ), the tins that were added have become one day old while the amount of tins that remained, i.e. $n(k)-u_{u t}(k)$, have become one day older. That gives the equation

$$
x(k+1)=\frac{u_{i n}(k)+\left(n(k)-u_{u t}(k)\right)(x(k)+1)}{n(k)+u_{i n}(k)-u_{u t}(k)}
$$

where the model is only valid if $n(k)>0$ and $x(k) \geq 0$. A plausibility test of the model above is that $u_{i n}=0$ gives $x(k+1)=x(k)+1$, i.e. if no new tins are added, the entire stock gets older at the same rate that time does.
Stationary points are obtained by estimating $n(k)=n(k+1)=\bar{n}$ and $x(k)=$ $x(k+1)=\bar{x}$. This gives the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=u_{i n, 0}-u_{u t, 0} \\
& 0=\bar{n}-\bar{x} u_{u t, 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{i n, 0}$ and $u_{u t, 0}$ are constant input signals. This means that in order for a stationary point to exist, the input signals must be chosen to be equal and the stationary point is then obtained as

$$
(\bar{n}, \bar{x})=\left(\bar{n}, \frac{\bar{n}}{u_{u t, 0}}\right)
$$

i.e. it is parameterized in both $u_{u t, 0}$ and $\bar{n}$. Even for the stationary points, a small plausibility check can be made. If the turnover of tins is large in relation to the number, i.e. if $u_{o u t, 0}$ and thus also $u_{i n, 0}$, is large in relation to $\bar{n}$, a low average age is obtained.
Assume instead that you take out the oldest tins from the warehouse. Let $\bar{n}_{i}$ be the number of tins of age $i$ at the stationary point and let $d$ be the age of the oldest tins. Then, the model is obtained by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{n}_{1} & =u_{i n, 0} \\
\bar{n}_{2} & =\bar{n}_{1} \\
\quad & \\
0 & =\bar{n}_{d}-u_{u t, 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the zero in the last row is due to att no tins should be older, which also is obtained since $\bar{n}_{i}=u_{i n, 0}, i=1, \ldots, d$. The average age becomes

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} i u_{i n, 0}}{d u_{i n, 0}}=\frac{1+d}{2}
$$

4. (a) Write the system in matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] u
$$

with $x=\left[\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ och $u=\left[\begin{array}{ll}u_{1} & u_{2}\end{array}\right]^{T}$. Now differentiate the equations until the matrix in front of $\dot{x}$ gets full rank. The number of derivatives of the system is the index of the system. Taking the derivative of row 3 gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] u+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \dot{u}
$$

The matrix in front of $\dot{x}$ has still rank 2 . Add row 1 to row 3 and subtract row 2 :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -2
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] u+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \dot{u}
$$

Taking the derivative of row 3 gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & -2
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] u+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{u}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \ddot{u}
$$

and the matrix in front of $\dot{x}$ has full rank. Since we have differentiated two times, the index is two.
Answer: Index 2. Motivation see above.
(b) With $u_{2}=l_{1} x_{1}+l_{2} x_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}$ the matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
-\left(1+l_{1}\right) & \left(1-l_{2}\right) & -l_{3}
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u_{1}
$$

is obtained. Taking the derivative of the third row gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\left(1+l_{1}\right) & \left(1-l_{2}\right) & -l_{3}
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u_{1}
$$

The requirement to obtain index 1 is that the matrix in front of $\dot{x}$ must have rank 3 , which is the case when $l_{3} \neq 0$ and $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ arbitrary.
Answer: The system has index 1 when $l_{3} \neq 0, l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ arbitrary.

