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## Functionals

Consider a normed linear space of continuously differentiable real-valued functions defined on $\mathcal{D}=[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$.

The norm $\|\cdot\|: \mathcal{C}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined as

$$
\|y\|=\max _{x \in \mathcal{D}}|y(x)|+\max _{x \in \mathcal{D}}\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| .
$$

Consider real-valued functionals $J$ defined on $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$, i.e., $J: \mathcal{C}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[y]=\int_{a}^{b} f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $f: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

## Extrema

Define the increment $\Delta J: \mathcal{C}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of a functional $J$ for a fixed $y \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ as

$$
\Delta J[\delta y]=J[y+\delta y]-J[y] .
$$

In case

$$
\Delta J[\delta y]=\delta J[\delta y]+\epsilon\|\delta y\| ;
$$

where $\delta J: \mathcal{C}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a linear functional and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\delta y\| \rightarrow 0$, we say that $J$ is differentiable, and we call the functional $\delta J$ the first variation or differential of $J$.

It can be shown that the differential of a differentiable functional is unique.

## Example

The functional in (1) is differentiable if $f$ is a differentiable function in its last two arguments. This follows from a Taylor series expansion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta J[\delta y] & =\int_{a}^{b}\left(f\left(x, y(x)+\delta y(x), y^{\prime}(x)+\delta y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right) d x \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left(\frac{\partial f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)}{\partial y} \delta y(x)+\frac{\partial f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)}{\partial y^{\prime}} \delta y^{\prime}(x)\right. \\
& \left.+h\left(y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\left\|\left(\delta y(x), \delta y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\|_{2}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function that goes to zero as $\left(\delta y(x), \delta y^{\prime}(x)\right) \rightarrow 0$. The latter is implied by $\|\delta y\| \rightarrow 0$.

## Example ctd.

Define $\epsilon$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon & =\frac{\int_{a}^{b} h\left(y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\left\|\left(\delta y(x), \delta y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\|_{2} d x}{\|\delta y\|} \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} h\left(y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\|\delta y\| d x}{\|\delta y\|}=\int_{a}^{b} h\left(y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to zero as $h$ goes to zero. Hence this functional is differentiable with first variation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J[\delta y]=\int_{a}^{b}\left(\frac{\partial f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)}{\partial y} \delta y(x)+\frac{\partial f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)}{\partial y^{\prime}} \delta y^{\prime}(x)\right) d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is left as an exercise to show that this functional is linear, see Exercise 7.2.

## Definition of Extremum

We say that a functional $J$ has a weak extremum at $y^{\star}$ if its increment has the same sign for all $y$ in a neighborhood of $y^{\star}$. 1

More formally there should exist $\epsilon>0$ such that $\Delta J[\delta y]$ has the same sign for all $\delta y$ such that $\|\delta y\| \leq \epsilon$.

If the sign is positive we have a weak minimum and if the sign is negative we have a weak maximum.

[^0]
## Necessary Condition for Extremum

Assume that a differentiable functional $J$ has an extremum at $y^{\star}$. Then the first variation vanishes, i.e., $\delta J[\delta y]=0$.
Proof: We have

$$
\Delta J[\delta y]=\delta J[\delta y]+\epsilon\|\delta y\|
$$

where $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\delta y\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence for sufficiently small $\|\delta y\|$ the sign of $\Delta J[\delta y]$ will be the same as the sign of $\delta J[\delta y]$. Now assume that $\delta J\left[\delta y_{0}\right] \neq 0$ for some $\delta y_{0}$. Then for any $\alpha>0$ we have

$$
\delta J\left[-\alpha \delta y_{0}\right]=-\delta J\left[\alpha \delta y_{0}\right],
$$

since $\delta J$ is linear. Hence the increment can be made to have either sign for arbitrary small $\delta y$ contradicting that $J$ has an extremum.

## Second Variation

We say that a functional $J$ is twice differentiable if the increment can be written

$$
\Delta J[\delta y]=\delta J[\delta y]+\delta^{2} J[\delta y]+\epsilon^{2}\|\delta y\|^{2}
$$

where $\delta J$ is the first variation, linear in $\delta y, \delta^{2} J$ is the second variation, quadratic in $\delta y$, and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\delta y\| \rightarrow 0$.

It can be shown that also the second variation is unique.

## Example

The functional in (1) is twice differentiable if $f$ is a twice differentiable function in its last two arguments (proof by Taylor series expansion) The second variation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{2} J[\delta y]=\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\delta y(x) \\
\delta y^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]^{T} & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\left.\partial^{2} f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)}{\partial y^{2}} & \frac{\left.\partial^{2} f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)}{\partial y \partial y^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\left.\partial^{2} f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)}{\partial y \partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\left.\partial^{2} f\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)}{\partial y^{\prime 2}}
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
\delta y(x) \\
\delta y^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Second Order Conditions for Extremum

It can be proven that a necessary condition for $y^{\star}$ to be a minimum for $J$ is that $\delta^{2} J[\delta y] \geq 0$ for all $\delta y$.

This is not a sufficient condition.

We say that the second variation is strongly positive if there exists a constant $k>0$ such that $\delta^{2} J[\delta y] \geq k\|\delta y\|^{2}$ for all $y$ and $\delta y$.

A sufficient condition for $y^{\star}$ to be optimal for $J$ is that its first variation vanishes and that its second variation is strongly positive.

This is not a necessary condition.

## Constrained Problem

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{minimize} & J[y] \\
\text { subject to } & K[y]=0 \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

with variable $y \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$, where $J$ and $K$ are functionals from $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$ to $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{p}$, respectively.

Assume that $K$ is affine and that $J$ is twice differentiable with a strongly positive second variation.

## Sufficient Condition for Optimality

Define the Lagrangian functional $L: \mathcal{C}(a, b) \times \mathbf{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as

$$
L[y, \mu]=J[y]+\mu^{T} K[y] .
$$

Assume that $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ and $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbf{R}^{p}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta L[\delta y]=\delta J[\delta y]+\bar{\mu}^{T} \delta K[\delta y] & =0 \\
K[\bar{y}] & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that $\bar{y}$ is optimal for (3-4).
Proof: By the first condition above $\bar{y}$ minimizes $L[y, \bar{\mu}]$, since $L$ is twice differentiable with a strongly positive second variation. Now assume that $\bar{y}$ is not optimal for the above optimization problem, but that $\tilde{y}$ is optimal. Then $J[\tilde{y}]<J[\tilde{y}]$ and $K[\tilde{y}]=0$ implies that

$$
L[\tilde{y}, \bar{\mu}]=J[\tilde{y}]<J[\bar{y}],
$$

which contradicts that $\bar{y}$ minimizes $L[y, \mu]$.

## Du Bois-Reymond Lemma

If $y:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} y(x) h(x) d x=0
$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ such that $h(a)=h(b)=0$, then $y(x)=0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$.

Proof: Suppose the function $y$ is positive at some point $c \in[a, b]$. By continuity it is also positive in some interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \subset[a, b]$. Let

$$
h(x)=\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x\right)
$$

for $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ and zero otherwise. Then it follows that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} y(x) h(x) d x>0
$$

which is a contradiction. In case the function is negative at some point a similar argument can be done. The lemma still holds true if we do not constrain $h$ at $a$ and/or $b$.

## Example

Consider the problem in (3-4) where

$$
\begin{aligned}
J[y] & =\int_{a}^{b} f(y(x)) d x \\
K[y] & =\int_{a}^{b} x y(x) d x-k
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $k$, where $f(y)=y \log y$. We have $f^{\prime}(y)=\log y+1$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(y)=1 / y$ and hence from (2) and from the examples the variations of $J$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta J[\delta y] & =\int_{a}^{b}(\log y(x)+1) \delta y(x) d x \\
\delta^{2} J[\delta y] & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{y(x)} \delta y(x)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The first variation of $K$ is $\delta K[\delta y]=\int_{a}^{b} x \delta y(x) d x$ and the second variation of $K$ is zero.

## Example ctd.

We define the Lagrangian as $L[y, \mu]=J[y]+\mu K[y]$ and its first variation is

$$
\delta L[\delta y]=\int_{a}^{b}(\log y(x)+1+\mu x) \delta y(x) d x
$$

By the du-Bosi-Reymond lemma it holds that if the first variation is zero, then

$$
\log y(x)+1+\mu x=0
$$

and hence we have that $y(x)=\exp (-1-\mu x)$. The constraint $K[y]=0$ can be used to determine $\mu$ in terms of $a, b$, and $k$.

## Generalizations

Most of what has been discussed in this section generalizes to $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

We will also consider $y(x)$ to be vector valued, i.e., $y: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$. To this end we just define the norm as

$$
\|y\|=\sup _{x \in \mathcal{D}}\|y(x)\|_{2}+\sup _{x \in \mathcal{D}}\left\|y^{\prime}(x)\right\|_{2}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ is the Euclidean vector norm.
From now on $\mathcal{C}^{n}(a, b)$ is the normed linear space of differentiable functions $y: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ with the above norm, where $\mathcal{D}=[a, b]$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In case there are constraints on $y$ for $x=a$ or $x=b, \delta y$ should be constrained to be zero at those values of $x$. This is often called that $\delta y(x)$ is admissible. We will tacitly assume that we only consider such admissible $\delta y$.

